Rocky Flats Plant

JULY 1993 ER-4180110-219

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND WASTE REPORTING:

P. J. Etchart, Coordinator

D. A. Cirrincione, Supervisor

N. L. Erdmann, Technical Editor,

D. R. Stanton, Desktop Publisher

CONTRIBUTORS:

B. M. Bowen

L. A. Dunstan G. R. Euler

V. T. Guettlein

T. G. Kalivas

L. C. Pauley

General Laboratories

Environmental Radiochemistry Laboratory



LEGE ROCKY FLATS

EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc. Rocky Flats Plant P.O. Box 464 Golden, Colorado 80402-0464

A prime contractor to the United States Department of Energy

Table of Contents

List	of Table	esi esii htsiii
1.	Introduc	tion
2.	Air . 2.1 Air 2.2 An	
3.	3.1 Ra 3.2 No	
4.	Meteoro	logy and Climatology
Ap ₁	pendix A pendix B pendix C pendix D	Radiation Standards for Protection of the Public
Lis	t of Fig	gures
Fig Fig Fig Fig	ure 1 ure 2 ure 3 ure 4 ure 5 ure 6 ure 7	Radiological Effluent Air Sampling System

List of Tables

Table 1	Plutonium and Americium Airborne Effluent Data 2-4
Table 2	Uranium Airborne Effluent Data
Table 3	Tritium and Beryllium Airborne Effluent Data 2-6
Table 4	Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Onsite Samplers 2-10
Table 5	Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Perimeter Samplers 2-1
Table 6	Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Community Samplers 2-13
Table 7	Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium 3-3
Table 8	Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium
Table 9	Onsite Water Sample Results - Tritium
Table 10	NPDES/FFCA Permit Water Sample Results
Table 11	NPDES/FFCA Effluent Monitoring
Table 12	Water Sample Results, Nonradioactive Parameters
Table 13	Daily Flow Data Recorded at the Walnut Creek at Indiana Gaging
	Station, Ponds A-4 and B-5
Table 14	Daily Flow Data Recorded at Ponds C-1 and C-2 (Woman Creek) 3-14
Table 15	Daily Transfer Flow Data Recorded for Pond B-5 to Pond A-4 3-1.
Table 16	Rocky Flats Plant Wind Direction Frequency (Percent) by Four
	Wind-Speed Classes
Table 17	Climatic Summary 4-4
Table 18	Water Quality Standards Comparison
	•

Page ii July 1993

Rocky Flats Plant Environmental Monitoring Report

July Highlights

Summarized below are highlights from the major data categories presented. Remaining data presented in this report are within the ranges historically measured for their respective parameters and locations.

Airborne Effluent Calculations - The June data for 12 americium locations are not available because quality assurance criteria were not satisfied. The samples are being rerun and results will be reported when they become available. July plutonium data are complete and reported in Table 1. The reported results for plutonium locations are within the ranges typically measured for airborne effluent calculations. June plutonium data also are reported in Table 1. The data for some locations were missing because of failure of quality assurance criteria and were not available because no additional sample remained for analysis. Best estimates of release activities for these samples were included in the report.

Uranium Airborne Effluent Concentrations -Rocky Flats personnel are reviewing the results of July uranium airborne effluent data after one value was reported that was higher than typical releases. The concentrations of Uranium-234 from one sampling location in Building 881 was below the plant action level, but well above what is normally reported for that location. There were no unusual activities or conditions in the building during the month that would account for the concentrations. The total release of Uranium-233, -234 for July is reported as 2.1129 μCi. The maximum concentration for Uranium-234 was 0.0149 pCi/m³, compared to the plant action level of 1.0 pCi/m³. The initial alpha/beta screening of the individual filters at the sampling location, which were composited for the analysis, did not show any elevated levels of activity. The sample is being re-analyzed and results will be reported when they become available. In addition, the data for 20 uranium locations are missing because of failure of quality assurance criteria. The samples are being rerun and the results will be reported when they become available. The remaining uranium effluent results are within the ranges typically measured for uranium airborne effluent calculations.

July 1993 Page iii

Tritium and Beryllium Effluent Concentrations - The July data for tritium concentrations are complete and reported in Table 3. Results are within historically expected ranges. Beryllium concentrations also are reported in Table 3, and results are within the ranges typically measured.

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air - Results of plutonium concentrations in ambient air for onsite samplers, perimeter samplers, and community samplers are provided in Tables 4, 5, and 6. Data from March and June 1993, previously reported as incomplete, are provided in individual errata tables. Reported results are within the ranges typically measured at the individual locations.

Onsite Water Sample Results - Tables 7, 8, and 9 provide results of onsite water sampling. Results for americium and tritium analyses are not reported because of incomplete laboratory analysis. The results will be reported when they become available. The reported results for plutonium and uranium analyses are within the ranges typically measured. Gross alpha and gross beta analyses for Pond A-4 discharges, and at Walnut Creek at Indiana, also were within expected ranges.

NPDES Sampling - All NPDES samples for July 1993 were submitted and analyzed by the Analytical Laboratories. There were no NPDES exceedances reported during the month and all results were within expected ranges. In Table 11, there are incomplete analyses for three metals, arsenic, cadmium, and silver. Results will be reported at the next monthly meeting.

Page iv July 1993

1. Introduction

The Rocky Flats Plant (RFP) has been part of a nationwide Department of Energy (DOE) complex for the research, development, and production of nuclear weapons. The plant was responsible for fabricating nuclear weapons components from plutonium, uranium, beryllium, and stainless steel. The primary production activities included metal fabrication and assembly, chemical recovery and purification of process-produced transuranic radionuclides, and related quality control functions.

This mission changed with the announcement in early 1992 that certain planned weapons systems had been canceled. RFP no longer produces weapons components, and is now in a transition phase into decontamination and decommissioning (D&D). Primary objectives of this new mission include achieving and maintaining compliance with environmental regulatory requirements, as well as effecting proper D&D steps that are under development.

Because radioactive and chemically hazardous materials may be used or handled at RFP during transition, the plant maintains an extensive environmental protection program. Included in that program is regular monitoring for radioactive and hazardous constituents at onsite, plant boundary, and offsite locations.

This Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report summarizes the effluent and environmental monitoring programs at the RFP for July 1993. Data presented herein reflect the best information available to the RFP at this time. If subsequent analyses indicate that any data presented herein are inaccurate or misleading, revisions will be issued promptly.

The Highlights section summarizes the major data categories presented. Remaining data presented in this report are within the ranges historically measured for their respective parameters and locations.

Radiation standards for protection of the public are discussed in Appendix A of this report. The primary standards are based on calculations of radiation dose. These calculations are performed annually using monitoring data presented in the Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report. Radiation doses to the public from RFP operations are typically well below any regulatory limit and far less than are received from naturally occurring radiation sources in the Denver metropolitan area.

July 1993 Page 1-1

Appendix B lists the Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) for which monitoring is required under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System/Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement (NPDES/FFCA). Appendix C describes Colorado Water Quality Control Commission (CWQCC) standards for the Walnut Creek and Woman Creek drainages downstream of RFP.

Error terms in the form of "a±b" are included with some of the data. For a single sample, "a" is the analytical-blank corrected value; for multiple samples it represents the arithmetic mean, the volume-weighted mean, or the annual total, as indicated in the table. The error term "b" accounts for the propagated statistical counting uncertainty of the sample(s) and the associated analytical blanks at the 95 percent confidence level. These error terms represent a minimum estimate of error for the data.

Plutonium, uranium, americium, tritium, and beryllium measured concentrations are given in this report. Most of the measured concentrations are at or very near background levels, and often there is little or no amount of these materials in the media analyzed. When this occurs, the results of the laboratory analyses can be expected to show a statistical distribution of positive and negative numbers near zero and numbers that are less than the calculated minimum detectable concentration for the analyses. The laboratory analytical blanks, used to correct for background contributions to the measurements, show a similar statistical distribution around their average values. Negative sample values result when the measured value for a laboratory analytical blank is subtracted from a sample analytical result smaller than the analytical blank value. Results that are less than calculated minimum detectable levels indicate that the results are below the level of statistical confidence in the actual numerical values. All reported results, including negative values and values that are less than minimum detectable levels, are included in any arithmetic calculations on the data set. Reporting all values allows all of the data to be evaluated using appropriate statistical treatment. This assists in identifying any bias in the analyses, allows better evaluation of distributions and trends in environmental data, and helps in estimating the true sensitivity of the measurement process.

The reader should use caution in interpreting individual values that are negative or less than minimum detectable levels. A negative value has no physical significance. Values less than minimum detectable levels lack statistical confidence as to what the actual number is, although it is known with high confidence that it is below the specified

Page 1-2- July 1993

detection level. Such values should not be interpreted as being the actual amount of material in the sample, but should be seen as reflecting a range (from zero to the minimum detectable level) in which the actual amount would likely lie. These values are significant, however, when taken together with other analytical results that indicate that the distribution is near zero.

The data in this report are provided as a matter of courtesy and should not be construed as an application for a permit or license, or in support of such an application. Approval of the DOE should be obtained before publication of any data contained in this report.

Abbreviations used within this report are as defined.

Abbreviations

#/100 ml

μCi

μg/l

Biochemical Oxygen Demand, 5 day test BOD₅ C Average Average concentration CBOD₅ Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand, 5 day test C Maximum Maximum concentration C Minimum Minimum concentration **EFF** Efficiency Lethal concentration to 50 percent LC₅₀ of the organisms m_3 Cubic meter m/s Meters per second mCi Millicurie ma/l Milligrams per liter Millirem mrem Picocuries per liter pCi/l pCi/m3 Picocuries per cubic meter pН Hydrogen ion concentration SU Standard Unit $\mu g/m^3$ Micrograms per cubic meter

Number per 100 milliliter

Micrograms per liter

Microcurie

Page 1-4 July 1993

2. Air

2.1 Airborne Effluent

RFP continuously monitors radionuclide air emissions at 53 locations in 17 buildings. The requirements outlined in the "General Environmental Protection Programs" (DOE Order 5400.1) and the "National Emission Standards for Emissions of Radionuclides Other Than Radon From DOE Facilities" (40 CFR 61, Subpart H), mandate the continuous monitoring of air emissions at all release points with the potential of discharging radionuclides into the air in quantities that could result in an effective dose equivalent (EDE) greater than 0.1 millirem per year.

The radiological particulate monitoring and sampling program uses a three-tier approach comprising Selective Alpha Air Monitors (SAAMs), total long-lived alpha screening of routine air duct emission sample filters, and radiochemical analysis of isotopes collected from air duct emission samples. This approach balances both sensitivity and timeliness of desired results. Figure 1 shows a typical radiological emission sampler configuration within an exhaust duct at the RFP.

For immediate detection of abnormal conditions, RFP building ventilation systems that service areas containing plutonium are equipped with SAAMs. SAAMs are sensitive to specific alpha particle energies and are set to detect plutonium-239 and -240. These detectors are subjected to daily operational checks, monthly performance testing and calibration for airflow, and an annual radioactive source calibration to maintain sensitivity and reliability. Monitors alarm automatically if out-of-tolerance conditions are experienced.

At regular intervals, particulate material samples from a continuous sampling system are removed from each exhaust system and radiometrically analyzed for long-lived alpha and beta emitters. The concentration of long-lived alpha and beta emitters is indicative of effluent quality and overall performance of the High Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filtration system. If the total long-lived alpha concentration for an effluent sample exceeds the RFP action value of 0.020×10^{-12} microcuries per milliliter, a follow-up investigation is conducted to determine the cause and to evaluate the need for corrective action. The action value is equal to the most restrictive offsite Derived Concentration Guide (DCG) for plutonium activity in air.

July 1993 Page 2-1

At the end of each month, individual samples from each exhaust system are composited by location. An aliquot of each dissolved composite sample is analyzed for beryllium particulate materials. The remainder of the dissolved sample is subjected to radiochemical separation and alpha spectral analysis that quantifies specific alpha-emitting radionuclides. Analyses for uranium isotopes are conducted for each composite sample.

Forty-one of the ventilation exhaust systems are located in buildings where plutonium processing is conducted. Particulate material samples from these exhaust systems are analyzed for specific isotopes of plutonium and americium. Typically, americium contributes only a small fraction of the total alpha activity release from RFP.

Processes ventilated from several exhaust systems potentially exhibit trace quantities of tritium contamination. Impinger-type samplers are used to collect samples three times each week from the monitored locations. Tritium concentrations in the sample are measured using a liquid scintillation photospectrometer.

The calibration methodology for the beryllium analyses was changed beginning with the September 1990 samples to improve quality assurance. The previous procedure used the single-point, "simple method of additions," one of the methods recommended by the manufacturer of the graphite furnace atomic absorption analytical equipment. The current method is based on Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Contract Laboratory Program protocol. It uses multi-point calibration curves, periodic validation of the curve with EPA validation standards, and periodic blank and sample checks to ensure absence of equipment contamination and matrix effects during the analysis.

Tables 1 through 3 show monitoring results for radioactive and nonradioactive airborne effluents continuously sampled from plant buildings.

Page 2-2 July 1993




Figure 1: Radiological Effluent Air Sampling System

Table 1
Plutonium and Americium Airborne Effluent Data

	Plutonium-239, -240 (6/15/93 - 7/16/93)					(5	Americiu <u>714/93 -</u>		Ŋ		
Month	Relea (μCi		C M	axir Ci/m			lea uCi		C M	axir Ci/m	
CY1992	0.3841 ±	0.0552	0.0016	±	0.0003	0.2457	±	0.0493	0.0012	±	0.0002
1993											
January	0.0325 ±	0.0043a	0.0006	± .	0.0001	0.0060	±	0.0028a	0.0000	±	0.0000
February	0.0194 ±	0.0035a	0.0003	±	0.0001	0.0070	±	0.0029a	0.0000	±	0.0000
March	0.0075 ±	0.0024	0.0003	±	0.0001	0.0091	±	0.0033a	0.0001	±	0.0001
April	0.0017 ±	0.0022a	0.0000	±	0.0000	0.0053	±	0.0026	0.0000	±	0.0000
Мау	0.0092 ±	0.0023b	0.0004	±	0.0001	0.0049	±	0.0031a	0.0000	±	0.0000
June	0.0107 ±	0.0027a,b	0.0001	±	0.0000	0.0073	±	0.0028a,c	0.0000	±	0.0000
July	0.0156 ±	0.0028	0.0000	±	0.0000						
Year to Date	0.0966 ±	0.0201	0.0006	±	0.0001	0.0396	±	0.0175	0.0001	±	0.0001

Page 2-4

^a The data for some locations were missing because of failure of Quality Assurance Criteria and were not available because no additional sample remained for analysis. Best estimates of release activities for these samples were included in the Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report.

b Previously reported as incomplete laboratory analysis.

^c The data for 12 americium locations are missing due to failure of Quality Assurance Criteria. The samples are being rerun.

Table 2
Uranium Airborne Effluent Data

		233, -234 - 7/16/93)	Uranium-238 (6/15/93 - 7/16/93)			
<u>Month</u>	Release (µCl)	C Maximum (pCi/m³)	Release (<u>µCl)</u>	C Maximum (pCi/m³)		
CY1992	0.3380 ± 0.1078	0.0041 ± 0.0006	0.5996 ± 0.1160	0.0023 ± 0.0005		
1993						
January	0.0234 ± 0.0076	0.0001 ± 0.0000	0.0526 ± 0.0089	0.0004 ± 0.0001		
February	0.0437 ± 0.0097	0.0001 ± 0.0000	0.0550 ± 0.0093	0.0001 ± 0.0001		
March	0.0559 ± 0.0109	0.0001 ± 0.0000	0.0733 ± 0.0110	0.0001 ± 0.0001		
April	-0.0056 ± 0.0075	0.0000 ± 0.0000	0.0047 ± 0.0076a	0.0000 ± 0.0000		
Мау	0.0551 ± 0.0106	0.0001 ± 0.0000	0.0741 ± 0.0107	0.0001 ± 0.0001		
June	0.0519 ± 0.0102	a.b 0.0001 ± 0.0000	0.0839 ± 0.0109a,b	0.0001 ± 0.0000		
July	2.1129 ± 0.3728	u.c 0.0149 ± 0.0022	0.0425 ± 0.0074a.c	0.0001 ± 0.0000		
Year to Date	2.3374 ± 0.4292	0.0001 ± 0.0000	0.3861 ± 0.0658	0.0004 ± 0.0001		

July 1993 Page 2-5

The data for some locations were missing because of failure of Quality Assurance Criteria and were not available because no additional sample remained for analysis. Best estimates of release activities for these samples were included in the Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report.

Previously reported as incomplete laboratory analysis.

The data for 20 uranium locations are missing due to failure of Quality Assurance Criteria. The samples are being rerun.

Table 3
Tritium and Beryllium Airborne Effluent Data

	Tritium (H-3) (6/30/93 - 7/30/93)				Beryillum (6/15/93 - 7/16/93)					
Month	Release (mCl)		Max Ci/r	imum n³)		Rei (gr	ea: am		С	Maximum (μg/m³)
CY1992	3.7991	117	±	11		0.6156	±	0.0443		0.00066
1993						•				
January	0.1886	51	±	7	,	0.0280	±	0.0019		0.00038
February	0.8773	91	±	7		0.0477	±	0.0038		0.00038
March	0.4897a	32	,±	7		0.0504	±	0.0039		0.00043
April	0.1674 ¢	22	±	3		0.03916	±	0.0028		0.00016
May ·	0.1037 ¢	32	±	4	•	0.0635	±	0.0045	,	0.00034
June	0.3265	102	±	8		0.0640	±	0.0043		0.00023
July	0.2121	· 45	±	7		0.0530	±	0.0036		0.00018
Year to Date	2.3654	102	±	8		0.3456	±	0.0247		0.00043

NOTE: Beryllium measured at the remaining 44 locations was below the screening level of 0.1 gram per month. Beryllium emissions from Rocky Flats Plant are regulated by the State of Colorado under Colorado Air Quality Control Regulation #8. The limit for beryllium air emissions is 10 grams per stationary source in a 24-hour period. No blank corrections are made to any beryllium data.

a Incomplete laboratory analysis.

The data for one location was missing because of failure of Quality Assurance Criteria and was not available because no additional sample remained for analysis. Best estimates of release activities for this sample was included in the Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report.

^c Previously reported as complete laboratory analysis.

Ambient air samplers monitor plutonium concentrations in air in the surrounding environment. This monitoring is performed in accordance with DOE Order 5400.1. The data are used to determine the air-inhalation dose to the public for comparison with the DOE standard of 100 millirem per year EDE from all modes of exposure from routine plant operations.

Samplers are designated in three categories by their proximity to the main facilities area.

- 1. Twenty-three onsite samplers are located within RFP, generally downwind of RFP production facilities areas and near areas of known plutonium contamination (Figure 2).
- 2. Fourteen perimeter samplers border RFP along major highways on the north (Highway 128), east (Indiana Street), south (Highway 72), and west (Highway 93) (Figure 2).
- 3. Eleven community samplers are located in metropolitan areas adjacent to RFP (Figure 3).

Samplers operate continuously at a volumetric flow rate of approximately 0.84 cubic meters per minute, collecting air particulates on 20- by 25-centimeter fiberglass filters. Manufacturer's test specifications rate this filter media to be 99.97 percent efficient for relevant particle sizes under conditions typically encountered in routine ambient air sampling.

Ambient air filters are collected biweekly and composited monthly by location before isotopic analysis. All routine ambient air filters are analyzed for plutonium-239 and -240.

Tables 4 through 6 summarize environmental monitoring data from the RFP ambient air sampling network.

July 1993 Page 2-7




Figure 2: Location of Onsite and Perimeter Air Samplers




Figure 3: Location of Community Air Samplers

Table 4 Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Onsite Samplers

(6/21/93 - 7/29/93)

Location	Volume (m³)	Plutonium Concentration (pCl/m³)	± 95 percent Confidence Interval (<u>pCi/m</u> 3)
S-01a			
S-02a			
S-03	25673	.000022	.000006
S-04	20808	.000035	.000008
S-05	30381	.000069	.000015
S-06	27873	.000067	.000012
S-07	22955	.000464	.000098
S-08	29372	.000849	.000128
S-09b			
S-10 ,	30468	.000016	.00004
S-12	28595	.000022	.000050
S-13	25897	.000020	.000006
S-14	23132	.000020	.000006
S-16	25979	.000021	.000006
S-17	7893	.000071	.000020
S-18	27463	.000000	.000002
S-19	30731 ·	.000032	.000007
S-20	29199	.000028	.000006
S-21	29342	.000034	.000008
S-22	21435	.000037	.000009
S-23	31081	.000021	.000005
S-24	31512	.000019	.000005
S-25	17260	.000460	.000070
S-81¢			,

These samplers were out of service. Incomplete laboratory analysis.

Unable to incorporate new calibration data.

Table 5
Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Perimeter Samplers

(6/22/93 - 7/20/93)

Location	Volume (m³)	Plutonium Concentration (pCi/m³)	± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCl/m³)
S-31	30464	.000000	.000001
S-32	30915	.000000	.000001
S-33	31100	.00002	.000002
S-34	29065	.000000	.000001
S-35	32838	.00001	.000001
S-36	28965	.000000	.000001
S-37	27949	.000003	.000002
S-38	31133	.000003	.000002
S-39	31893	.000001	.000001
S-40	25499	.000001	.000002
S-41	28900	.000008	.00004
S-42	26773	.000026	.000007
S-43	30035	.000000	.000001
S-44	26343	.000001	.000002

Table 6
Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Community Samplers

(6/23/93 - 7/21/93)

Location	Community Name	Volume (m³)	Plutonium Concentration (pCi/m³)	± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCl/m³)
S-51	Marshall	26038	000001	.000001
S-52	Jeffco Airport	24089	.000001	00001
S-53	Superior	28126	.000001	.000001
S-54	Boulder	29261	.00001	.000001
S-55a	Lafayette			•
S-56	Broomfield	25363	.00001	.000001
S-57a	Walnut Creek			
S-58	Wagner	26815	.000000	.000001
S-59	Leyden	30627	.000001	.000001
S-61b	Denver			
S-62	Golden	28809	.000000	.000001
S-68	Lakeview Pointe	25431	.00001	.000002
S-73	Cotton Creek	18608	.000006	.000004

Page 2-12 July 1993

a This sampler was damaged beyond repair and must be replaced.

Sampler S-61 located in Denver was inoperative during this period. This sampler has been temporarily removed because of construction activities on the building where it is installed.

Errata

July 1993 Page 2-13

Table 4 - Errata March 1993

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Onsite Samplers

(2/15/93 - 3/15/93)

<u>Location</u>	Volume (m³)	Plutonium Concentration (pCl/m³)	± 95 percent Confidence Interval <u>(pCl/m³)</u>
S-01 ^a		•	
S-02a	•		
S-03	27477	.000001	.000001
S-04	12201	.000009	.00000
S-05	34906	.000025	.000007
S-06	18483	.000241	.000044
S-07	32034	.000058	.000013
S-08	31541	.000160	.000032
S-09	31398	.000083	.000015
S-10	32317	.000005	.000002
S-12	30662	.000006	.000003
S-13	32285	.000002	.000001
S-14	27585	,000001	.000001
S-16	19457	.000003	.000003
S-17	28731	.000007	.000003
S-18	29689	.000022	.000006
S-19	34147	.000015	.000004
S-20	32467	.000013	.000005
S-21	32743	.000009	.000004
S-22	25196	.000003	.000003
S-23	31339	.000001	.000001
S-24	35097	.000002	.000002
S-25	26209	.000418	.000099
S-81b			

Page 2-14 July 1993

a These samplers were out of service.

b Unable to incorporate new calibration data.

Table 5 - Errata March 1993

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Perimeter Samplers

(2/16/93 - 3/16/93)

<u>Location</u>	Volume (m³)	Plutonium Concentration (pCl/m³)	± 95 percent Confidence Interval <u>(pCl/m</u> 3)
S-31	33106	.000000	.000001
S-32	32609	.000000	.000001
S-33	33005	000001	.000001
S-34	31666	.00001	.00001
S-35	33685	.000000	.000001
S-36	29250	.000000	.000001
S-37	30136	.000000	.000001
S-38	30373	.000001	.000001
S-39	33479	.000001	.000001
S-40	29732	.00001	.000001
S-41	30796	.000001	.000001
S-42	28142	.000002	.000002
S-43	31848	000001	.00001
S-44	28404	.000000	.000001

Table 6 - Errata March 1993

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Community Samplers

(2/17/93 - 3/17/93)

Location	Community Name	<u>Volume</u> (m³)	Plutonium Concentration (pCi/m³)	± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCl/m³)
S-51	Marshall	28626	.000000	.000001
S-52	Jeffco Airport	27393	.000001	.000002
S-53	Superior	30918	.000001	.000001
S-54	Boulder	32636	.000001	.000001
S-55a	Lafayette	,		
S-56	Broomfield	30022	.000001	.000001
S-57a	Walnut Creek	•		
S-58	Wagner	30882	.000000	.000001
S-59	Leyden	32633	.000001	.00001
S-61b	Denver			
S-62	Golden	33114	.000000	.000001
S-68	Lakeview Pointe	33950	.000001	.000001
S-73	Cotton Creek	27820	.000000	.000001

Page 2-16 July 1993

This sampler was damaged beyond repair and must be replaced.

b Sampler S-61 located in Denver was inoperative during this period. This sampler has been temporarily removed because of construction activities on the building where it is installed.

Table 4 - Errata June 1993

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Onsite Samplers

(5/10/93 - 6/21/93)

<u>Location</u>	Volume (m³)	Plutonium Concentration (pCi/m³)	± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCl/m³)
S-01 ^a		•	
S-02a			
S-03	35702	.000002	.000001
S-04	34456	.000007	.000002
S-05	46793	.000035	.00007
S-06	44019	.000048	.000010
S-07	38643	.000177	.000030
S-08	45338	.000502	.000074
S-09	43592	.000381	.000063
S-10	46434	.00005	.000003
S-12	44246	.000064	.000011
S-13	45667	.000003	.000002
S-14 ,	39645	.000002	.000002
S-16	46255	.000002	.000002
S-17	34756	.00004	.000002
S-18	42839	.000014	.00005
S-19	47044	.000013	.00004
S-20	44808	.000011	.000003
S-21	45949	.00005	.000002
S-22	34143	.00005	.000002
S-23	46549	.000002	.00001
S-24	49403	.000001	.000001
S-25	16499	.000132	.000029
S-81b	•	. ,	

a These samplers were out of service.

b Unable to incorporate new calibration data.

Table 5 - Errata June 1993

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Perimeter Samplers

(5/11/93 - 6/22/93)

Location	Volume (m³)	Plutonium Concentration (pCi/m³)	± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCl/m³)
S-31	47600	.000052	.000010
S-32	47844	.000000	.000000
S-33	48600	.000000	.000001
S-34	. 45709	.000000	.000001
S-35	49857	.000000	.000001
S-36	45725	.000000	.000001
S-37a			
S-38	43889	.00002	.000001
S-39	49645	.000000	.000001
S-40	41767	.000003	.000002
S-41	45384	.000000	.000001
S-42	40507	.000000	.000001
S-43	46621	.000001	.000001
S-44	41091	.00001	.000001

Page 2-18 July 1993

a Failed laboratory analysis, there will be no result.

Table 6 - Errata June 1993

Plutonium Concentrations in Ambient Air for Community Samplers

(5/12/93 - 6/23/93)

Location	Community Name	Volume (m³)	Plutonium Concentration (pCl/m³)	± 95 percent Confidence Interval (pCi/m³)
S-51	Marshall	41612	.000001	.000001
S-52	Jeffco Airport	36983	.000002	.000002
S-53	Superior	44666	.000001	.000001
S-54	Boulder	46917	.000003	.000002
S-55a	Lafayette			
S-56	Broomfield	40172	.000000	.000001
S-57a	Walnut Creek			•
S-58	Wagner	41266	.000003	.000002
S-59	Leyden	47250	.000000	.000001
S-61b	Denver			
S-62	Golden	45850	.00001	.000001
S-68	Lakeview Pointe	41431	.000002	.000002
S-73	Cotton Creek	31913	.000003	.000002

July 1993 Page 2-19

a This sampler was damaged beyond repair and must be replaced.

Sampler S-61 located in Denver was inoperative during this period. This sampler has been temporarily removed because of construction activities on the building where it is installed.

Page 2-20 July 1993

3. Water

3.1 Radionuclide

RFP samples for and analyzes radionuclides that may be present in the plant surface water control ponds and drinking water reservoirs. Radionuclide standards for discharge of surface-water effluents are given in DOE Order 5400.5, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment." In addition, the CWQCC has issued stream segment standards for drainages downstream of RFP. These standards address both radioactive and nonradioactive parameters.

Water sampling is performed at several locations at RFP. These include ponds A-4, B-5, C-1, and C-2, as well as Walnut Creek at Indiana Street. Daily samples are collected during discharges or periods of flow for these locations and composited into weekly samples. Analyses are then performed for plutonium, americium, and uranium isotopic concentrations.

Water sampling results for radioactive constituents are given in Tables 7 through 10.




Figure 4: Holding Pond and Liquid Effluent Water Courses

Table 7

Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	Plutonium	-239240	Americium-241		
<u>Pond A-4</u> 07/23/93 07/24/93 - 07/30/93		± 0.005 ± 0.001	а а		
Volume weighted average concentration	-0.001	± 0.010	a		
Pond B-5 - No Discharge	•	٠,			
Pond C-1 - No Flow Average concentration Pond C-2 - No Discharge Volume weighted average concentration					
Walnut Creek at Indiana 07/24/93 - 07/30/93	0.002	± 0.003	. a .		
Volume weighted average concentration	0.002	± 0.003	a		

a Incomplete laboratory analysis.

Table 8

Onsite Water Sample Results - Uranium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

<u>Location</u>	<u>Uraniu</u>	m-23	323 <u>4</u>	<u>Urar</u>	lum	1-238	,
<u>Pond A-4</u> 07/23/93 07/24/93 - 07/30/93	0.40 0.54	± ±	0.08	0.48 0.55	± ±	80.0 80.0	
Volume weighted average concentration	0.53	±	80.0	0.55	ť	0.07	
Pond B-5 - No Discharge							
Pond C-1 - No Flow							
Average concentration							
Pond C-2 - No Discharge			ł		•		
Volume weighted average concentration							
Walnut Creek at Indiana 07/24/93 - 07/30/93	0.37	±	0.07	0.41	±	0.07	
Volume weighted average concentration	0.37	±	0.07	0.41	±	0.07	

Table 9

Onsite Water Sample Results - Tritium

Tritium (pCi/I)

Location	Number of Samples	C Minimum	C Maximum	C Average
Pond A-4b	. 9	a ,	a	, a
Walnut at Indianab	8	a	a	a

Incomplete analysis.
Volume weighted average concentration.

3.2 Nonradionuclide

RFP conducts sitewide surface-water sampling programs to monitor discharges from detention ponds, evaluate potential contaminant releases, and characterize baseline water quality. Nonradioactive parameters requirements for this monitoring are derived from the NPDES permit as modified in March 1991 by an FFCA. The NPDES/FFCA permit sets limits for nonradioactive pollutants in effluent water from federal facilities.

The EPA has issued to the RFP an NPDES permit for control of surface-water discharges. The RFP NPDES permit establishes effluent limitations for seven surface-water discharge points that may discharge into drainages leading off of the RFP.

Water sampling results associated with the NPDES/FFCA permit are reported in Table 10. Applicable NPDES/FFCA limits are included in Table 10 for comparison. Monitoring results for which no limits have been established under the NPDES/FFCA are reported in Table 11. Analytical results for nonradioactive parameters in water at Walnut Creek at the Indiana Street location are summarized in Table 12.

Table 10

NPDES/FFCA Permit Water Sample Results

Discharge 001-A (Pond B-3) - Pond discharged continuously 07/01/93 - 07/31/93

Measured

Limit

<u>Parameters</u> Nitrate	mg/l	30-Day Average 3.1	30-Day <u>Average</u> 10	measured Max. 7-Day <u>Average</u> 3.3	Max. 7-Day <u>Average</u> 20
Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l		Measured <u>Maximum</u> 0.12	Limit <u>Maximum</u> 0.5	. •
Discharge 001-B (S	Sewage T	reatment Plan	t) - Discharged con	tinuously 07/01/93 -	07/31/93
<i>Parameters</i> CBOD ₅ Total Phosphorus	mg/l mg/l	Measured 30-Day <u>Average</u> 2 2	Limit 30-Day <u>Average</u> 10 8	Measured <u>Maximum</u> 6 3.4	Limit <u>Maximum</u> 25 12
Total Chromium	mg	0.0083	0.05	0.0198	0.10
Fecal Coliforms Total Suspended Solids	#/100 ml mg/l	Measured 30-Day Average <1 (Geometric) 4.5	Limit 30-Day <u>Average</u> 200 (Geometric) 30	Measured Max. 7-Day Average <1 (Geometric) 6	Limit Max. 7-Day Average 400 (Geometric) 45
рН	SU	Measured <u>Minimum</u> 6.6	Limit <u>Minimum</u> 6.0	Measured <u>Maximum</u> 7.3	Limit <u>Maximum</u> 9.0
Oil and Grease		Observed <u>Sheen</u> No visual	<i>Limit Sheen</i> No visual		
Discharge 002 (P	ond A-3) - No Discharge			
<i>Parameters</i> Nitrates as N	mg/l	Measured 30-Day <u>Average</u>	Limit 30-Day <u>Average</u> 10	Measured <u>Maximum</u>	Limit <u>Maximum</u> 20
		Measured <u>Minimum</u>	Limit <u>Minimum</u>	Measured <u>Maximum</u>	Limit <u>Maximum</u>

6.0

pΗ

SU

9.0

Limit

NPDES/FFCA Permit Water Sample Results (Continued)

Discharge 003 (RO Pilot Plant) and Discharge 004 (RO Plant) are inactive outfails and will be eliminated from the new NPDES permit.

Discharge 005 (Pond A-4)

Pond discharged continuously 07/23/93 - 07/31/93

 Measured
 Limit

 Parameters
 Maximum
 Maximum

 Total Chromium
 mg/l
 0.0049
 0.05

Discharge 006 (Pond B-5) - No Discharge

Limit Measured Limit Measured Max. 7-Day Max. 7-Day 30-Day 30-Day Maximum Average Maximum <u>Average</u> **Parameters** Nitrate as Na mg/l 10 Measured Limit **Maximum** <u>Maximum</u> 0.5 Total Residual Chlorinea mg/l 0.05 Total Chromium mg/l

Discharge 007 (Pond C-2) - No Discharge

 Parameters
 Measured Maximum
 Limit Maximum

 Total Chromium
 mg/l
 0.05

These parameters are measured only in the event that Waste Water Treatment Plant effluent bypasses Pond B-3 and flows directly into Pond B-5.

NPDES/FFCA Effluent Monitoring

Discharge 001-A (Pond B-3) - Pond discharged continuously 07/01/93 - 07/31/93

		Measured	Measured 30-Day
<u>Parameters</u>		<u>Maximum</u>	<u>Average</u>
BOD ₅	mg/l	20	11
CBOD ₅	mg/l .	5	2
Total Suspended Solids	mg/l	13	5

Discharge 001-B (Sewage Treatment Plant [STP]) - Discharged continuously 07/01/93 - 07/31/93

MeasuredMeasured30-DayParametersMaximumAverageTotal Residual Chlorinemg/l0.070.02

Total Residual Chlorine mg/l 0.07 0.02

Whole Effluent Toxicity^a Sampled quarterly; data reported June 1993 Ceriodaphnia % EFF to LC₅₀:

Fathead Minnows % EFF to LC₅₀:

Measured 30-Day <u>Average</u> Metals μα/

Metals were sampled on 07/07/93 and 07/14/93
Antimony <29

Arsenic Analysis not complete Beryllium <1.0 Cadmium Analysis not complete Copper 4.0 Iron <59.3 Lead 0.9 27.6 Manganese Mercury <0.2 Nickel <12.0

Silver Analysis not complete Zinc 26.6

Concentrations

that were above

Volatile Organic

 Compounds (VOCs)
 μg/l

 Chloroform
 5
 15
 sampled 07/07/93

 Chloroform
 5
 15
 sampled 07/21/93

NPDES/FFCA Effluent Monitoring (Continued)

Discharge 003 (Reverse Osmosis Pliot Plant) and Discharge 004 (Reverse Osmosis Plant) are inactive outfalls and will be eliminated from the new NPDES permit.

Discharge 005 (Pond A-4) - Pond discharged continuously 07/23/93 - 07/31/93

Whole Effluent Toxicity

Sampled quarterly; data reported June 1993

Whole Effluent Toxicitya

Quarterly sample period 04/01/93 - 06/30/93

Ceriodaphnia

% EFF to LC₅₀:

Fathead Minnows

% EFF to LC50:

Discharge 006 (Pond B-5) - No Discharge

Whole Effluent Toxicitya

Ceriodaphnia

% EFF to LC50:

Fathead Minnows

% EFF to LC₅₀:

Discharge 007 (Pond C-2) - No Discharge

Whole Effluent Toxicitya

Ceriodaphnia

% EFF to LC₅₀:

Fathead Minnows

% EFF to LC₅₀:

Results for whole effluent toxicity are given in percentage of effluent sample that will cause mortality to half the test result organisms within the time frame of the test. For example, >100 percent indicates that 100 percent pure effluent did not cause acute toxicity to at least half of the organisms. A lower percentage LC₅₀ (lethal concentration to 50 percent of test organisms) indicates a greater toxic effect since less of the sample is required to observe a sufficiently extensive adverse effect.

PQL (Practical Quantitation Limit) is equal to ten times the Method Detection Limit and represents the quantity at which 70 percent of laboratories can report in the 95 percent confidence interval.

Water Sample Results, Nonradioactive Parameters

Flow measured and sampled 07/24/93 - 07/31/93

Walnut Creek at Indiana Street

	• •	Number of			
<u>Parameters</u>		<u>Samples</u>	C Minimum	C Maximum	C Average
pН	SU	8	7.37	8.6	· N/A
Nitrates as N	mg/l	. 8	0.32	1.38	0.05

3.3 Flow

Daily flow data for surface water from the two plant drainage systems (Walnut Creek and Woman Creek) are given in Tables 13 and 14. The current NPDES/FFCA permit requires flow measurement for terminal ponds when discharged offsite (A-4, B-5, and C-2). Other flow data are reported for informational purposes.

Daily flow data for water transferred from Pond B-5 to Pond A-4, for subsequent discharge offsite, are given in Table 15. Discharges from Pond A-4, which include transfers from Pond B-5, enter Walnut Creek and are diverted around Great Western Reservoir through the Broomfield Diversion Ditch. Discharges from Pond C-2 are pumped through a pipeline into the Broomfield Diversion Ditch, and also diverted around Great Western Reservoir.

Table 13

Daily Flow Data Recorded at the Walnut Creek at Indiana Gaging Station, Ponds A-4 and B-5

Date	Walnut Creek at Indiana (Gallons)	Pond A-4 (Gallons)	Pond B-5 (Gallons)
07/01/93	No Flow	No Discharge	No Discharge
07/02/93	1 .	1	1
07/03/93			Ì
07/04/93			
07/05/93			
07/06/93			
07/07/93			
07/08/93	;		
07/09/93			
07/10/93			
07/11/93			
07/12/93		· ·	
07/13/93			
07/14/93		·	
07/15/93			
07/16/93			
07/17/93		·	1
07/18/93	ł		
07/19/93			·
07/20/93		,	
07/21/93		ı	
07/22/93	·	No Discharge	
07/23/93	No Flow	665,000	
07/24/93	982,000	1,312,000	
07/25/93	1,121,000	1,329,000	
07/26/93	1,167,000	1,364,000	
07/27/93	1,238,000	1,362,000	
07/28/93	1,170,000	1,247,000	
07/29/93	1,297,000	1,145,000	1
07/30/93	1,352,000	1,129,000	. 1
07/31/93	1,339,000	1,112,000	No Discharge
Total	9,666,000	10,665,000	No Discharge

July 1993 Page 3-13

Table 14

Daily Flow Data Recorded at Ponds C-1 and C-2 (Woman Creek)

Date	Pond C-1 (Gallons)	Pond C-2 (Gallons)
07/01/93	No Flow	No Discharge
07/02/93	1	1
07/03/93		
07/04/93		
07/05/93		
07/06/93		
07/07/93	,	
07/08/93	į	
07/09/93		
07/10/93		
07/11/93		
07/12/93		
07/13/93		
07/14/93		
07/15/93		
07/16/93	·	
07/17/93		
07/18/93	· •	
07/19/93	·	•
07/20/93		
07/21/93		
07/22/93		1
07/23/93		
07/24/93		
07/25/93	•	
07/26/93	•	,
07/27/93		
07/28/93	•	
07/29/93	ı	
07/30/93	. No Flow	Na Diaghassa
07/31/93	. No Flow	No Discharge
Total	. No Flow	No Discharge

Page 3-14 July 1993

Table 15

Daily Transfer Flow Data Recorded for Pond B-5 to Pond A-4

<u>Date</u>	Pond B-5 to Pond A-4 (Gallons)
07/01/93	No Transfer
07/02/93	ſ
07/03/93	
07/04/93	
07/05/93	
07/06/93	
07/07/93	
07/08/93	
07/09/93	
07/10/93	
07/11/93	
07/12/93	}
07/13/93	•
07/14/93	·
07/15/93	·
07/16/93	
07/17/93	
07/18/93	
07/19/93	.
07/20/93	•
07/21/93	
07/22/93	
07/23/93	
07/24/93	
07/25/93	
07/26/93	No Transfer
07/27/93	No Transfer
07/28/93	398,000
07/29/93 07/30/93	1,128,000
07/30/93	1,095,000
07/31/93	1,061,000
Total	3,682,000

July 1993 Page 3-15

Errata

Page 3-16 July 1993

Table 7 - Errata June 1993

Onsite Water Sample Results - Plutonium and Americium

Holding Pond Outfall (pCi/l)

Location	Plutoniu	Plutonium-239, -240			Americium-241		
Pond A-4							
06/15/93 - 06/18/93 06/19/93 - 06/22/93	0.003 0.003	± ±	0.003ª 0.003ª		0.004 0.001	± ±	0.003a 0.002a
Volume weighted average concentration	0.003	±	0.002a		0.002	±	0.002a
Pond B-5 - No Discharge							
Pond C-1							٠
05/29/93 - 06/04/93	0.034	±	0.009		0.009	±	0.003
06/05/93 - 06/11/93	0.016	±	0.003		0.004	±	0.002
06/12/93 - 06/18/93	0.008	±	0.011a		0.003	±	0.002a
06/19/93 - 06/25/93	0.015	±	0.006a		0.007	±	0.002a
06/26/93 - 06/28/93	0.009	±	0.004		0.004	±	0.003
Average concentration	0.016	±	0.010a		0.005	±	0.003ª
Pond C-2 - No Discharge							
Walnut Creek at Indiana			•				
06/16/93 - 06/18/93	-0.001	±	0.002a	•	0.006	±	0.003a
06/19/93 - 06/22/93	-0.001	±	0.002a		0.001	±	0.002ª
Volume weighted average concentration	-0.001	±	0.001a		0.003	±	0.002ª

a Previously reported incomplete.

Page 3-18

4. Meteorology and Climatology

Meteorological data are routinely collected on the plantsite from instrumentation installed on a 61-meter (200-foot) tower located in the west buffer zone at an elevation of 1,870 meters (6,140 feet) above sea level. Meteorological data recovery was nearly 100 percent for July. The frequency of wind direction and speed are shown in Table 16. The compass points indicate the direction from which the wind blows. Beginning with this month's report, both day and night wind roses display these frequencies graphically in Figure 5 and 6 to illustrate the large diurnal wind changes. The wind rose sectors also represent the direction from which the wind blows (i.e., wind along each sector blows toward the center).

Winds at RFP generally occur from the west through northwest, especially when speeds are greater than 4 m/s (9 mph). At lighter wind speeds less than 4 m/s (9 mph), the distribution of wind direction is more even. Wind speeds greater than 5 m/s (11 mph) from the east sector rarely occur. The distribution of winds during July indicates a relatively low frequency of strong, large-scale winds from the southwest - northwest, primarily during the day. The strong sunshine, long days, and light, large-scale winds promoted the generation of thermally driven winds up the slope southeast of RFP and up-valley (S. Platte) from the north. Nighttime winds were most frequently northwesterly, probably because low-level drainage winds down the Rocky Flats slope blended with leftover, thermally-driven winds up the S. Platte. Southwesterly winds were also common at night, reflecting the combination of local drainage winds and regional, down-valley drainage winds.

July recorded below-normal temperatures and precipitation. A strong cold front associated with an unseasonably intense storm moved across the Front Range late on the afternoon of July 3, causing strong, gusty winds. The peak wind gust of 73 mph (32 m/s) was unusually strong for summer and, in fact, was second highest during the past year only to the 75 mph (34 m/s) gust recorded during Chinook winds on January 21, 1993. While RFP received only strong winds, some of the nearby highest mountain peaks received heavy amounts of wet snow. The resulting Arctic air mass caused cool weather the next 2 days, with the high temperature reaching only 65 °F (18.5 °C) on July 4. Temperatures warmed during the following week, with the high temperature reaching 91 °F (33 °C) on July 10.

July 1993 Page 4-1

Upslope winds and monsoon moisture combined to produce showers and thundershowers during July 11 - July 14, with most of the month's rainfall occurring in this period. A strong high pressure system produced hot conditions during the final week, with the high temperature reaching 90 °F (32 °C) on 2 of the final 3 days.

The mean wind speed during July was 8.9 mph (4.0 m/s). The peak gust during the month occurred on July 3, reaching 73 mph (34 m/s). The mean temperature was 66.8 °F (19.3 °C), or about 1.5 °F (0.8 °C) below normal. Precipitation was about one-third of normal during the month, with water-equivalent totalling 0.48 inches (1.2 cm). Annual precipitation through July was nearly 40 percent below normal, totalling 7.04 inches (17.9 cm).

Table 16

Rocky Flats Plant Wind Direction Frequency (Percent) by Four Wind-Speed Classes

(Fifteen-Minute Averages - July 1993)


	<u>Calm</u>	1-2.5 <u>(m/s)</u>	2.5-4 (m/s)	4-8 (m/s)	> 8 (m/s)	Total (m/s)
N	•	1.48	3.29	3.09	0.10	8.03
NNE	•	1.82	1.95	2.02	0.00	5.82
NE	-	1.48	1.71	0.64	0.00	3.93
ENE	•	1.78	1.14	0.24	0.00	3.19
E	•	2.29	1.98	0.24	0.00	4.54
ESE		1.75	2.96	0.17	0.00	4.94
SE	-	2.59	3.46	1.04	0.00	7.09
SSE	-	1.55	2.79	2.45	0.10	6.99
S	-	1.55	1.65	2.62	0.07	5.92
SSW	•	1.01	1.34	1.65	0.34	4.37
SW	-	1.38	2.15	1.24	0.27	5.11
wsw	•	1.14	2.02	2.82	0.47	6.49
W	•	1.08	1.48	1.78	1.48	5.85
WNW	•	1.34	2.18	2.76	2.35	8.71
NW	-	2.02	3.53	2.35	0.40	8.44
NNW	-	1.31	3.50	5.61	0.17	10.59
TOTAL	0.84	25.55	37.14	30.72	5.75	100.00
			•			

Table 17
Climatic Summary

	T	EMPERATURE (deg. F)		DEW-POINT (deg. F)	WII SPE (mj	ED	PRESS. (mb)	SOLAR (kW-h/m2)	EQ! PRE	TER- UIV :CIP. hes)	SNOW (inches)
<u>Date</u>	High	LOW	Mean	Mean	<u>Mean</u>	Peak gust (1 sec)	Mean	Total	Total	Peak (15 min)	_Total
07/01/93	84.0	53.6	68.8	45.1	6.9	23.0	812.0	7.48	0.00	0.00	1
07/02/93	87.6	57.0	72.3	40.8	7.4	17.7	810.0	8.11	0.00	0.00	
07/03/93	86.4	56.1	71.3	28.0	17.9	72.5	803.0	7.63	0.00	0.00	,
07/04/93	65.3	49.8	57.6	30.0	18.6	53.5	806.0	6.98	0.00	0.00	
07/05/93	73.0	48.6	60.8	27.0	12.3	36.2	810.0	8.57	0.00	0.00	
07/06/93	81.3	50.7	66.0	29.3	8.5	22.8	811.0	7.09	0.00	0.00	
07/07/93	80.4	48.7	64.6	33.8	8.3	32.0	811.0	8.26	0.00	0.00	
07/08/93	80.8	56.7	68.8	42.6	11.2	67.8	810.0	5.48	0.00	0.00	
07/09/93		54.9	67.0	42.6	8.3	20.6	815.0	7.02	0.00	0.00	
07/10/93		54.9	72.8	35.1	9.6	40.3	814.0	7.67	0.00	0.00	
07/11/93		50.5	59.6	48.4	8.3	30.6	817.0	2.73	0.12	0.06	
07/12/93		52.3	65.3	45.7	8.1	21.7	812.0	5.74	0.03	0.01	
07/13/93		53.2	62.4	45.5	7.8	23.5	815.0	6.45	0.05	0.02	
07/14/93	72.3	50.0	61.2	52.0	8.5	21.5	817.0	6.01	0.23	0.03	
07/15/93		. 52.0	66.3	49.6	6.0	15.2	814.0	7.50	0.00	0.00	
07/16/93		57.9	69.5	46.6	8.3	29.1	813.0	6.55	0.00	0.00	
07/17/93		53.2	59.8	50.0	7.8	18.6	816.0	3.26	0.02	0.01	
07/18/93		50.4	66.8	39.0	6.5	18.3	818.0	8.30	0.00	0.00	
07/19/93		52.3	64.9	46.6	7.4	27.7	819.0	6.34	0.00	0.00	
07/20/93		51.6	63.0	47.3	8.7	37.8	816.0	4.66	0.00	0.00	
07/21/93		55.6	68.3	39.2	8.9	52.1	813.0	5.58	0.00	0.00	
07/22/93		53.8	66.7	37.4	6.7	27.7	814.0	6.21	0.00	0.00	
07/23/93		53.8	64.2	43.0	8.7	25.3	813.0	4.70	0.00	0.00	
07/24/93		50.0	63.4	36.1	11.0	38.9	812.0	7.03	0.00	0.00	
07/25/93		52.3	67.7	38.5	6.5	18.8	816.0	6.83	0.00	0.00	
07/26/93		55.9 ·	69.6	43.7	8.1	28.0	813.0	7.71	0.00	0.00	
07/27/93		52.0	66.3	36.1	8.9	28.9	816.0	8.33	0.00	0.00	
07/28/93		54.3	70.4	37.2	7.8	27.1	820.0	8.21	0.00	0.00	
07/29/93		60.8	75.7	39.9	6.5	23.9	819.0	6.94	0.00	0.00	,
07/30/93		62.2	72.9	43.3	8.5	26.4	820.0	3.79	0.03	0.01	
07/31/93		68.0	79.0	36.9	6.9	19.5	821.0		0.00	0.00	

	MONTHLY TEMPERATURES			WIND SPEED		PRESS.	SOLAR	PRECIPITATION		SNOW	
Mean High	Mean <u>Low</u>	Mean	Dew- point	Mean (mph)	Monthly <u>Max.</u>	Monthly Avg.	Monthly Total	Total	Monthly <u>Max.</u>	Total	
79.7	54.0	66.8	40.5	8.9	72.5	814.1	203.46	0.48	0.06	0.0	





E

S





Figure 5: Daytime Wind Rose for the Rocky Flats Plant - July 1993



Appendix A

Radiation Standards for Protection of the Public

Calculation of Potential Plant Contribution to Public Radiation Dose The primary standards for protection of the public from radiation are based on radiation dose. Radiation dose is a means of quantifying the biological damage or risk of ionizing radiation. The unit of radiation dose is the rem or the millirem (1 rem = 1,000 mrem). Radiation protection standards for the public are annual standards, based on the projected radiation dose from a year's exposure to or intake of radioactive materials.

Radiation dose is a calculated value. It is calculated by multiplying radioactivity concentrations in air and water or on contaminated surfaces by assumed intake rates (for internal exposures) or by exposure times (for external exposure to penetrating radiation), then by the appropriate radiation dose conversion factors. That is:

Radiation Dose =

Radioactivity Concentration x Intake Rate/Exposure Time x Dose Conversion Factor

Radioactivity concentrations can be determined either by measurements in the environment or by calculations using computer models. These computer models perform airborne dispersion/dose modeling of measured building radioactivity effluents and estimated diffuse source term emissions (e.g., from resuspension from contaminated soil areas).

Assumed intake rates and dose conversion factors used are based on recommendations of national and international radiation protection advisory organizations, such as the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) and the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP).

Radioactive materials of importance in calculating radiation dose to the public from RFP activities include plutonium, uranium, americium, and tritium. Alpha radiation emissions from plutonium, uranium, and americium are primary contributors to the projected radiation dose.

DOE Radiation Protection Standards for the Public

<u>iCRP-Recommended Standards for</u> <u>all Pathways:</u>

Temporary Increase - 500 mrem/year Effective Dose Equivalent (with prior approval of DOE EH-2)

Normal Operations - 100 mrem/year Effective Dose Equivalent

EPA Clean Air Act Standards for the Air Pathway Only:

10 mrem/year Effective Dose Equivalent

DOE Derived Concentration Guides for Radionuclides of Interest at the Rocky Flats Plant

Plant Air inhaiation: DCG (pCl/m3) **Padionuclide** Plutonium-239, -240 0.02 Water ingestion: Radionuclide DCG (pCI/I) Ptutonium-239, -240 30 30 Americium-241 500 Uranium-233, -234 600 Uranium-238 Hydrogen-3 (Tritlum) 2,000,000

Potential public radiation dose commitments, which could have resulted from plant operations and from background (i.e., non-Plant) contributions, are calculated from average radionuclide concentrations measured at the DOE property boundary and in surrounding communities. Inhalation and water ingestion are the principal potential pathways of human exposure.

On February 8, 1990, DOE adopted DOE Order 5400.5, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment," a radiation protection standard for DOE environmental activities (US 90). This standard incorporates guidance from the ICRP, as well as from the EPA Clean Air Act (CAA) air emission standards (as implemented in 40 CFR 61, Subpart H). Included in DOE Order 5400.5 is a revision of the dose limits for members of the public. Tables of radiation dose conversion factors currently used for calculating dose from intakes of radioactive materials were issued in July 1988 (US88a, US88b). The dose factors are based on the ICRP Publications 30 and 48 methodology and biological models for radiation dosimetry. The DOE Order 5400.5 and the dose conversion factor tables are used for assessment of any potential RFP contribution to public radiation dose. On December 15, 1989, EPA published revised CAA air emission standards for DOE facilities (US89). DOE radiation standards for protection of the public are given in this Appendix and include the December 15, 1989, EPA CAA air pathway standards.

DOE Derived Concentration Guides

Secondary radioactivity concentration guides can be calculated from the primary radiation dose standards and used as comparison values for measured radioactivity concentrations. DOE provides tables of these DCGs in DOE Order 5400.5. DCGs are the concentrations that would result in an EDE of 100 mrem from 1 year's chronic exposure or intake. In calculating air inhalation DCGs, DOE assumes that the exposed individual inhales 8,400 cubic meters of air at the calculated DCG during the year. Ingestion DCGs assume a water intake of 730 liters at the calculated DCG for the year. The table on this page lists the most restrictive air and water DCGs for the principal radionuclides of interest at the RFP.

Compliance with EPA Clean Air Act Standards

To determine compliance with the EPA air emissions standards, measured airborne effluent radioactivity emissions are entered into the EPA-approved atmospheric dispersion/dose calculation computer code, CAP88-PC, for calculation of the maximum radiation dose that an individual in the public could receive from the air pathway only.

For comparison with the annual radiation dose standards for protection of the public, the maximum annual EDE that a member of the public could receive as a result of RFP activities is typically less than 1 mrem, or less than 1 percent of the recommended annual standard for all pathways.

Dose Equivalent and Effective Dose Equivalent

Dose equivalent is a calculated value used to quantify radiation dose; it reflects the degree of biological effect from lonizing radiation. Differences in the biological effect of different types of ionizing radiation (e.g., alpha, beta, gamma, or x-rays) are accounted for in the calculation of dose equivalent.

EDE is a calculated value used to allow comparisons of total health risk (based primarily on the risk of cancer mortality) from exposures of different types of ionizing radiation to different body organs. It is calculated by first calculating the dose equivalent to those organs receiving significant exposures, multiplying each organ dose equivalent by a health risk weighing factor, and then summing those products. One millirem EDE from natural background radiation would have the same health risk as one millirem EDE from an artificially produced source of radiation.

References

US88a DOE/EH-0070, "External Dose-Rate Conversion Factors for Calculation of Dose to the Public," United States Department of Energy, Asst. Secretary for Environment, Safety and Health, July 1988.

US88b DOE/EH-0071, "Internal Dose Conversion Factors for Calculation of Dose to the Public," United States Department of Energy, Asst. Secretary of Environment, Safety and Health, July 1988.

US89 United States Environmental Protection Agency, Code of Federal Regulations 40 CFR 61, Subpart H, "National Emission Standards for Emissions of Radionuclides other than Radon from Department of Energy Facilities," Washington, D.C., December 15, 1989.

US90 United States Department of Energy, DOE Order 5400.5, "Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment," Washington, D.C., February 8, 1990.

Appendix B

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System/Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement Volatile Organic Compounds

The following is a list of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) for which monitoring is required by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System/Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement (NPDES/FFCA).

Compound	PQL (µg/i)	Compound	PQL (μg/l)
Benzene	5	1,3-dichloropropylene	5
Bromoform	5	Ethylbenzene	5
Methyl bromide	10	Methyl chloride	10
Carbon Tetrachloride	5 - '	Methylene chloride	5
Chlorobenzene	5	1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	5
Chlorodibromomethane .	5	Tetrachloroethylene	5
Chloroethane	10	Toluene	5
Chloroform	5	1,2-trans-dichloroethylene	5
Dichlorobromomethane	5	1,1,1-trichloroethane	5
1,1-dichloroethane	5	1,1,2-trichloroethane	5
1,2-dichloroethane	5	Trichloroethylene	5
1,1-dichloroethylene	5	Vinyl chloride	10
1,2-dichloropropane	5	. •	

July 1993 Page B-1

Page B-2 July 1993

Appendix C

Colorado Water Quality Control Commission Standards

The Colorado Water Quality Control Commission has finalized new standards for the Walnut Creek and Woman Creek drainages. The EPA has not yet written a new NPDES permit that reflects these standards; however, in the spirit of the Agreement in Principle (AIP) completed between the DOE and the State of Colorado, the RFP is attempting to meet the standards at this time (Figure 7).

Standards for CWQCC are summarized in Table 18.

July 1993 Page C-1




Figure 7: Stream Segmentation and Classification - July 1993

Table 18
Water Quality Standards Comparison

	CURRENT	CURRENT	
Parameter	Segment 5 Standard	Segment 4 Standard	
<u>Organics</u>	μ σ/ Ι	μ α/Ι	<u>footnotes</u>
4-CHLORO-3-METHYLPHENOL	30	30	` 6
ACENAPHTHENE	520	. 520	6
ACENAPHTHYLENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
ACROLEIN	21	21	6
ACRYLONITRILE	0.058	0.058	3
ALDICARB	10	10	2
ALDRIN	0.00013	0.00013	3,4
ANTHRACENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
ATRAZINE BENZENE	· 3	3	3 2
BENZENE BENZIDINE	0.00012	0.00012	2
BENZO(a)ANTHRACENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
BENZO(a)PYRENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
BENZO(b)FLUORANTHENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
BENZO(ghi)PERYLENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
BENZO(k)FLUORANTHENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	0.3	0.3	3
BROMOFORM	4	4	3
BUTYL BENZYL PHTHALATE	3000	3000	. 6
CARBOFURAN	36	. 36	2
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	18	0.25	2,5
CHLORDANE	0.00058	0.00058	3,4
CHLOROBENZENE	100	100	2
CHLOROETHYL ETHER (BIS-2)	0.03	0.03	2,3
CHLOROFORM	6.0	6.0	3
CHLOROMETHYL ETHER (BIS)	0.0000037	0.0000037	3 .
CHLOROPHENOL	2000	2000	6
CHLOROPYRIFOS	0.041	0.041	6
CHRYSENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
DDD 4'4 DDE 4'4	0.00083 0.001	0.00083 0.001	6 2
DDT 4'4	0.00059	0.00059	3,4
DEMETON	0.00039	0.00033	3
DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE	2700	2700	6
DIBENZO(a,h)ANTHRACENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	6	. 6	3
DICHLOROBENZENE 1,2	620	620	
DICHLOROBENZENE 1,3	400 .	400	2 2 2
DICHLOROBENZENE 1,4	75	75	2
DICHLOROBENZIDINE	0.039	0.039	3
DICHLOROETHANE 1,2	0.4	0.4	2
DICHLOROETHYLENE 1,1	0.057	0.057	2
DICHLOROETHYLENE 1,2-CIS	70	70	2

July 1993 Page C-3

DICHLOROETHYLENE 1,2-TRANS	100	100	2
DICHLOROPHENOL 2,4	21	21 -	6
DICHLOROPHENOXYACETIC ACID (2,4-D)	70	70	3,4
DICHLOROPROPANE 1,2	0.56	0.56	2
DIELDRIN	0.00014	0.00014	3,4
DIETHYL PHTHALATE	23000	23000	6
DIMETHYL PHTHALATE	313000	313000	6
DIMETHYLPHENOL 2,4	2120	2120	6
DINITRO-O-CRESOLE	13	13	6
DINITROPHENOL 2,4	14	14	. 2
DINITROTOLUENE 2,4	0.11	0.11	6
DINITROTOLUENE 2,6	230	230	6
DIOXIN (2,3,7,8-TCDD)	0.00000013	1.3E-08	3,4
DIPHENYLHYDRAZINÉ 1,2	0.04	0.04	2
ENDOSULFAN	0.056	0.056	3
ENDRIN	0.0023	0.0023	3,4
ENDRIN ALDEHYDE	0.0020	0.2	6
	680	680	2
ETHYLBENZENE			6
ETHYLHEXYL PHTHALATE (BIS-2)	1.8	1.8	
FLUORANTHENE	42	42	3
FLUORENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
GUTHION	0.01	0.01	3
HEPTACHLOR	0.00021	0.00021	3,4
HEPTACHLOR EPOXIDE	0.0001	0.0001	2
HEXACHLOROBENZENE	0.00072	0.00072	3,4
HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	0.45	0.45	3,4
HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE, ALPHA (BHC)	0.0039	0.0039	3
HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE, BETA (BHC)	0.014	0.014	3
HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE, GAMMA (BHC)	0.019	0.019	3,4
HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE, TECHNICAL (BHC)	0.013	0.013	3
		· –	3
HEXACHLOROETHANE	1.9	1.9	
HEXACHLOROROCYCLOPENTADIENE	. 5	5	2
INDENO(1,2,3-cd)PYRENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
ISOPHORONE	8.4	8.4	2
MALATHION	0.1	0.1.	. 3
METHOXYCHLOR	0.03	0.03	3,4
METHYL BROMIDE	48	48	3
METHYL CHLORIDE	5.7	5.7	3
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	4.7	4.7	3
MIREX	0.001	0.001	3
NAPHTHALENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
NITROBENZENE	3.5	3.5	2
NITROSO-DI-n-PROPYLAMINE-N	0.005	0.005	6
NITROSODI-N-BUTYLAMINE-N	0.005	0.0064	3
			3
NITROSODIETHYLAMINE-N	0.0008	0.0008	
NITROSODIMETHYLAMINE-N	0.00069	0.00069	3
NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE-N	4.9	4.9	3
NITROSOPYRROLIDINE-N	0.016	0.016	3
PARATHION	0.4	0.4	· 3
PCBs	0.000044	0.000044	3,4
PENTACHLOROBENZENE	6	6	2
PENTACHLOROPHENOL .	5.7	5.7	2
PHENANTHRENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
PYRENE	0.0028	0.0028	3
SIMAZINE	4	4	3
TETRACHLOROBENZENE 1,2,4,5	2	2	2
TETTAOHEONOOLIAZEIAE 1,2,4,0	4	4	2

Page C-4 July 1993

TETRACHLOROETHANE 1,1,2,2	0.17	0.17	6
TETRACHLOROETHYLENE	76	0.8	3,4,5
TOLUENE '	1000	1000	. 2
TOXAPHENE	0.0002	0.0002	2
TRICHLOROETHANE 1,1,1	200	200	2
TRICHLOROETHANE 1,1,2	0.6	0.6	2
TRICHLOROETHYLENE	66	2.7	2,5
TRICHLOROPHENOL 2,4,5	700	700	2
TRICHLOROPHENOL 2,4,6	2.0	2.0	2
TRICHLOROPHENOXYPROPIONIC (2,4,5-TP)	50.0	50.0	3
VINYL CHI ORIDE	2	2	2

Parameter	CURRENT	CURRENI Segment 4 Standard		
	Segment 5 Standard			
<u>Metals</u>	μ g/ Ι	µg/I	<u>footnotes</u>	
ALUMINUM	150	150	6	
ARSENIC	50	50	2	
BARIUM	1000	1000	2	
BERYLLIUM	ʻ 4	4	1	
CADMIUM	TVS = 1.50	TVS=1.50	1,2	
CHROMIUM III	50	50	2	
CHROMIUM VI	11	11	2	
COPPER	23	TVS=16	1,4	
IRON (d)	300	300	2	
IRON	13200	1000	5,6	
LEAD	28	TVS=6.5	2	
MANGANESE (d)	560	50	2.	
MANGANESE	1000	1000	, 1	
MERCURY	0.01	0.01	2	
NICKEL	TVS= 125	TVS=125	1	
SELENIUM	10	10	2	
SILVER	TVS= 0.59	TVS=0.59	2	
THALLIUM	0.012	0.012	2	
ZINC	350	TVS=45	1,4	

TVS=TABLE VALUE STANDARD d=DISSOLVED METAL

July 1993

Statewide agricultural standard.
 Statewide water supply standard.

³ Site-specific standard.

⁴ This standard is more restrictive than the statewide water supply standard.
5 Segment 5 standard is a temporary modification.

⁶ Statewide aquatic standard.

	<u>CURRENT</u>	<u>CURRENT</u>	
Parameter Physical & Biological	Segment 5 Standard µg/l	Segment 4 Standard ug/l	footnotes
NAMED AND ASSOCIATED OVICEN (mg/l)	5.0	5.0	1,2
MINIMUM DISSOLVED OXYGEN (mg/l)	6.5-9.0	6.5-9.0	
pH (s.u.) FECAL COLIFORMS PER 100 ML	2000	2000	2 2
Inorganics	•		
	1000		•
UNIONIZED AMMONIA - March through June UNIONIZED AMMONIA - July through February Note: Statewide water supply unionized ammonia standard of 0.5 μg/l applied at water supply intake.	1800 700	calculated calculated	2 2
AMMONIA	100	100	
BORON	750	750	1
CHLORIDE	250000	250000	
CHLORINE (ACUTE)	19	19	2 6 6
CHLORINE (CHRONIC)	11	11	6
CYANIDE (FREE)	5	5	1,2
FLUORIDE	2000		2
NITRATE	10000	10000	2 2 2 2 2
NITRITE	500	500	2
SULFATE	250000	250000	2
SULFIDE (AS H2S)	2	2	2
			•
	CURRENT	<u>CURRENT</u>	
Parameter	Segment 5 Standard Woman Creek	Segment 4 Standard Walnut Creek	
Radionuclides	pCI/I	pCI/I	
Gross Alpha	7	11	
Gross Beta	5	19	
Americium '	0.05	0.05	
Curium 244	· 60	60	
Neptunium 237	30	30	
Plutonium	0.05	0.05	
Uranium	5	10	•
Uranium 233 &234			
Uranium 238			•
Cesium 134	80	80	
Radium 226 & 228	5	5	
Strontium 90	8	8	
Thorium 230 & 232	60	60	
Tritium	500	500	
·			

Appendix D

Distribution

Federal Agencies

US DOE, RFO Attn: A.H. Pauole Bldg. 115

US EPA

Attn: Dr. M. Lammering, R. Rutherford One Denver Place - Suite 1300 999 18th Street Denver, CO 80202-2413

US EPA
Attn: B. Lavelle
999 18th Street, Suite 500
8 HWM-FF
Denver, CO 80202-2405

State Government Agencies

Colorado Council on Rocky Flats Atm: G. Swartz 1536 Cole Blvd., Suite 325 Denver West Office Park #4 Golden, CO 80401

Colorado Water Conservation Board Attn: N.C. Ioannides 823 State Centennial Building 1313 Sherman Street Denver, CO 80203

Denver Regional Council of Governments Atm: L. Mugler 2480 W. 27th Avenue, #200B Denver, CO 80211

Department of Natural Resources Attn: B. Hamlett III . 1313 Sherman Street Denver, CO 80203

City Governments

City of Arvada Utilities Division Attn: M. Mauro 8101 Ralston Road Arvada, CO 80002

City of Boulder
Office of the City Manager
Attn: J. Piper, A. Struthers
P.O. Box 791
Boulder, CO 80302

City of Broomfield Attn: H. Mahan, K. Schnoor #6 Garden Office Center P.O. Box 1415 Broomfield, CO 80038-1415

City of Fort Collins
Office of the City Manager
Attn: S. Burkett
300 La Porte
Fort Collins, CO 80525

City of Northglenn Attn: N. Renfroe 11701 Community Center Drive Northglenn, CO 80233-1099

City of Thornton Attn: J. Ethredge, City Manager 9500 Civic Center Drive Thornton, CO 80229-1120

City of Westminster Attn: D. Cross, S. Nechtrieb 4800 W. 92nd Avenue Westminster, CO 80030

Denver Water Department Quality Control Attn: J. Dice 1600 W. 12th Avenue Denver, CO 80254

Health Departments

Boulder City/County Health Department - Division of Environmental Health Attn: T. Douville, V. Harris 3450 Broadway Boulder, CO 80020

Colorado Department of Health 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South Denver, CO 80222-1530 Attn: J. Bruch, R. Fox, D. Holm, E. Kray, A. Lockhart, P. Nolan, R. Quillin, J. Sowinski

Colorado Department of Health Office of Environmental Multimedia Focal Group 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South Denver, CO 80222-1530 Attn: S. Tarlton

Jefferson County Health Department Attn: Dr. M. Johnson, C. Sanders 260 South Kipling Lakewood, CO 80226

Tri County District Health Attn: S. Salyards 4301 E. 72nd Avenue Commerce City, CO 80022

<u>Environmental</u>

Advance Sciences, Inc. Attn: D. Kaskie, M.G. Waltermire 405 Urban Street, Suite 401 Lakewood, CO 80228

American Friends Service Co. Attn: T. Rauch 1535 High Street, 3rd Floor Denver, CO 80218

W. Gale Biggs Associates Attn: Dr. W. Gale Biggs P.O. Box 3344 Boulder, CO 80307 F.H. Blaha 2303 Table Heights Drive Golden, CO 80401

Environmental Information Network Attn: P. Elofson-Gardine 8470 W. 52nd Place, Suite 9 Arvada, CO 80002-3447

L.C. Holdings Atm: M. Jones 18300 Hwy 72 Golden, CO 80403-8222

IT Corporation Atm: C. Rayburn 5600 S. Quebec, Suite 280D Englewood, CO 80111

National Renewable Energy Laboratory Attn: R. Noun 1617 Cole Blvd. Golden, CO 80402

PRC Environmental Management, Inc.

Attn: R.J. Fox 1099 18th Street, Suite 1960 Denver, CO 80202

Peak Rock Spring Water Attn: S. Dolson 4615 Broadway Street Boulder, CO 80304-0509

Rocky Flats Cleanup Commission Attn: K. Korkia 1738 Wynkoop, Suite 302 Denver, CO 80202

Sierra Club - Rocky Mountain Chapter Attn: Dr. E. DeMayo 11684 Ranch Elsie Road Golden, CO 80203

Woodward Clyde/ERCE Atm: W. Glasgow Stanford Place 3, Suite 415 4582 S. Ulster Street Pkwy. Denver, CO 80237 Wright Water Engineers Atm: J. Jones, S. Kribs 2490 W. 26th Avenue, Suite 100A Denver, CO 80211

Other

R.M. Borinsky 13004 Lowell Court Broomfield, CO 80020

W.J. Jones 10986 W. 77th Avenue Arvada, CO 80005

T.T. Matsuo 11746 W. 74th Way Arvada, CO 80005

R.D. Morgenstern 3213 W. 133rd Avenue Broomfield, CO 80020

J.K. Natale 11767 W. 74th Way Arvada, CO 80005

National Center for Atmospheric Research Attn: S. Sadler P.O. Box 3000 Boulder, CO 80307-3000

L.S. Newton 5993 W. 75th Avenue Arvada, CO 80003

Michael Peceny Fluor Daniels 1726 Cole Blvd., Suite 150 Golden, CO 80401

Physicians for Social Responsibility Attn: T. Perry 1000 16th NW, Suite 810 Washington, D.C. 20036

F.H. Shoemaker 13631 W. 54th Avenue Arvada, CO 80002

D.S. Smith 11122 Seton Place Westminster, CO 80030 D.L. Weiland 7648 Owens Court Arvada, CO 80005

S.M. Yasutake 6381 West 74th Place Arvada, CO 80003

EG&G Rocky Flats

S.J. Bender MA, Bldg. 850

B.M. Bowen, EPM/Air Quality Division

E.A. Brovsky, General Chemistry

M.S. Brugh, Gen. Spect. Laboratory

D.A. Cirrincione, EPM/ Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting

J.A. Cuicci, Regulated Waste Bldg. T130B

S.L. Cunningham, Info. Security

N.M. Daugherty, EPM/Air Quality Division

N.S. Demos, ERM/Facility Operations

R.A. Deola, EPM/Air Quality Division

J.R. Dick, Analytical Labs

L.A. Doerr, Op. Health Physics

L.A. Dunstan, EPM/Surface Water Division G.D. Elliott, FPM Program Management

E.W. Ellis, Technical Development

Environmental Master File c/o M. Paliani, EPM/Records and Reporting

N.L. Erdmann, EPM/Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting

- P.J. Etchart, EPM/Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting
- G.R. Euler, EPM/Air Quality Division
- V.T. Guettlein, EPM/Surface Water
- T.G. Hedahl, Associate General Manager Environmental & Waste Management
- D.I. Hunter, General Laboratory
- J.E. Janke, ERM/Remediation Reporting Management
- H. Jordan, Nuclear Safety Engineering
- T.G. Kalivas, EPM/Air Quality Division
- R.D. Lindberg, ERM/Env. Science and Technology
- Harry Mann, General Manager
- F.G. McKenna, Chief Counsel
- J.I. McLaughlin, EPM/Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting
- W.E. Osborne, EPM/Air Quality Division
- J.G. Paukert, Media Relations
- B.J. Pauley, EPM/Air Quality Division
- L.C. Pauley, EPM/Air Quality Division V.L. Peterson, Safety Analysis Engineering
- D.R. Pierson, Pondrete Ops.
- F. Primozic, Waste Quality Engineering
- A.J. Read, Analytical Labs

- Rocky Flats Plant
 Public Reading Room
 c/o Front Range Community College
 3645 W. 112th Avenue
 Westminster, CO 80037
- R.S. Roberts, Remediation Programs Division
- C.M. Sanda, Community Relations
- J.K. Schwartz, Media Communications
- C.A. Sedlmayr, Administration
- G.H. Setlock, Director Environmental Protection Management
- T.A. Smith, Community Relations
- N.R. Stallcup, EPM/Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting
- D.R. Stanton, EPM/Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting
- D. Stein, Mechanical Utilities
- M.T. Sullivan, Radiation Protection
- P. V. Thomas, EPM/Environmental Protection and Waste Reporting
- C. Trice, Analytical Labs
- J.M. Wilson, Director, Communications
- J. Zarret, Analytical Labs
- K. Zbryk, Analytical Labs

Page D-4 July 1993